

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,803	06/28/2001		Bharath Rangarajan	F0660	F0660 7099	
7	7590	06/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Himanshu S.	Amin		ROSENBERGER	ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A		
Amin & Turoc						
National City (Center		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1900 E. 9th Str	reet, 24th	Floor	2877	2877		
Cleveland, Ol	H 44114					

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/893,803	RANGARAJAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Richard A. Rosenberger	2877		

Advisory Action	09/893, 803 RANGARAJAN ET AL.		L.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard A. Rosenberger	2877					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess				
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILED	WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) a	fee under 37 is set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal of	f the appeal.				
	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered b	ecause				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	·	•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		in be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a f nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attached.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Art Unit: 2877

1. The remarks filed 24 May 2005 have been considered. The remarks begin with the allegation that "Claims 1-29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller *et al.* (US 6,643,557) in view of Moslehi (US 5,719,495)." The remarks later allege, on the bottom of page 2, "Neither Miller et al. nor Moslehi, alone nor in combination, disclose or suggest such novel features of applicant's claimed invention."

This is not the rejection. As the remarks do not address the rejection that was made, they cannot serve to advance prosecution.

It is noted that previously during the history of this application, as here, the Applicant has not responded to office actions by addressing the rejections that was made; see, for example, the comments in section 3 of the final rejection mailed 24 March 2005. Any paper filed in the future *must* respond to the rejection that was actually made, or that paper will be held to be non-responsive.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard A Rosenberger whose telephone number is (571) 272-2428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during the hours of 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. A. Rosenberger 1 June 2005

Richard A. Rosenberge Primary Examiner